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Model-Based Engine Control Overview

• Model-Based Engine Control (MBEC) is a method of using an on-board model to estimate the desired control parameters, such as thrust and stall margin.

• MBEC Concept has been around since early 1990s
  – The challenge has been model accuracy over engine life cycle
  – The approach here is to apply an Optimal Tuner Kalman Filter (OTKF) to serve as the on-board model.

• MBEC is being developed as one of the advanced engine control system methodologies to improve turbofan engine performance, safety, and efficiency.
Current Engine Control Architecture

Full Authority Digital Engine Control

- Thrust cannot be measured and hence is indirectly controlled through regulating a measured variable which correlates with thrust e.g., Fan Speed, Engine Pressure Ration (EPR).
- Stall Margins (SM) cannot be measured. Safe margins are indirectly maintained by acceleration and deceleration limits.
- Nominal operating line is conservative to maintain life cycle safety margins.
Motivation

• The Vehicle Systems Safety Technologies Project is investigating risk factors for operating the engine beyond its standard design to improve control during emergency situations.
  – MBEC offers a means to directly estimate the available margin during emergency situations

• MBEC is an ideal technology for incorporating diagnostic and prognostic research initiatives which will allow for greater autonomy of the engine.

• The estimation of the stall margin can allow for a larger operating envelope of the engine during the design phase to improve efficiency.
Modeling Application Approach

• A MBEC architecture is comprised of three main components
  – An engine or “truth” model.
  – An on-board model designed to provide real-time estimates of desired unmeasured parameters.
  – New controller and limit protection logic.

• Modeling approach to develop a MBEC architecture:
  – Modify the truth model to develop new control laws and allow for switching between the baseline and MBEC
  – Modify the on-board model, OTKF, to incorporate command signals and output the desired un-sensed parameters
    • Develop the Kalman Filter using a piece-wise linear model of CMAPSS40k
  – Integrate the OTKF with CMAPSS40k to calculate and verify the un-sensed parameter estimation
MBEC Architecture

- The approach is to use an on-board model of the engine to provide accurate estimates of un-sensed parameters that are used in the control system design.
  - If MBEC is proven to provide accurate estimates of safety parameters the required margins can be reduced.
Cruise Estimation Results

- Condition: Altitude of 30,000ft, Mach 0.7, PLA of 60° steps of +/-10°
  - Performance deterioration throughout the engine’s lifecycle is simulated
  - The HPC SM regulator is designed to limit the HPC SM from falling below 11%, which accounts for the non-transient HPC SM stack up
  - An aspect of designing the engine protection logic is guarding against high gas temperatures feeding into the turbine, T40.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent error</th>
<th>Net Thrust</th>
<th>HPC SM</th>
<th>T40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>&lt;1.0%</td>
<td>&lt;4.0%</td>
<td>&lt;0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>1.51%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Net Thrust Estimation Results: Takeoff

- **Condition**: FAA regulation to go from flight idle at sea level to 95% power in less than 5 seconds

- **Similar estimation performance to the cruise condition is shown**, however the largest estimation error has now increased to approximately 3% due to the very large transient.
Protection Logic: Stall Margin versus Acceleration Limiter

- Condition: Sea level static idle to full power transient to engage the protection logic
  - Comparison between the MBEC protection logic using the estimate of the HPC SM and the baseline CMAPSS40k EPR controller using an acceleration limiter

- Acceleration regulator designed to 11% SM, while the HPC SM regulator designed to 8% SM
Protection Logic: Stall Margin versus Acceleration Limiter

- The SM threshold was lowered to a value of 8% to prevent the MBEC design from having a higher max T40 than the baseline.

- This also accounts for uncertainty in the estimation of SM during the large transient
Protection Logic: Stall Margin versus Acceleration Limiter

- The MBEC control limiter has a significant response time advantage over the baseline controller using the acceleration limiter.
  - A tight control of the HPC SM is a key potential benefit of the MBEC control design approach.
  - The significance of this, beyond a faster large transient response, would be for the operating line of the engine to be allowed to move to a more efficient regime of the operating envelope.
Conclusions and Future Work

• Development of a MBEC model utilizing CMAPSS40k and an optimal tuner Kalman Filter as the on-board estimation method.
  – Focusing on a thrust feedback and HPC stall margin limit logic MBEC architecture

• The MBEC architecture results show:
  – An improvement over an acceleration limiter in engine performance was shown, and thus could allow for more efficient engine operation by opening up new regions of the compressor map for operation

• Future analysis is investigating the potential of MBEC to open up a larger operating envelope of the engine to improve efficiency in the design phase, and applications to enhanced performance to prevent loss of control.
Questions?